

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION N	Ю.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/971,972	09/971,972 10/05/2001		Raj Subbu	H26-073 US	5708
21706	7590	08/10/2004		EXAMINER	
1.0 1111		MICHALOS	HIRL, JOSEPH P		
100 DUTCH HILL ROAD SUITE 110 ORANGEBURG, NY 10962-2100				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2121	2121
				DATE MAILED: 08/10/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	09/971,972	SUBBU ET AL.						
/ Navioury Addon	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Joseph P. Hirl	2121						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 23 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a						
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or	n n					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:							
(a) they raise new issues that would require further		see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	·							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the						
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.						
NOTE:								
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we								
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-16</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	roved or b) disapproved by tl	he Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).							
10. ☐ Other:	, , , , , , ,							
BEST AV	AILABLE COPY	080404						

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments have been fully considered but are not persuasive.

The office action of January 15, 2004 and particularily paras 7-10 apply. The invention is not a continuing evolution. It is disclosed when the initial filing is made and further "tuning" is not acceptable. .

RAMESH PATEL 8/5/04 PRIMARY EXAMINER For Anthony Knight

BEST AVAILABLE COPY